

The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS AND ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

February 22, 2007

[Cite as *02/22/2007 Case Announcements*, 2007-Ohio-709.]

MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

2006-1858. Reihard v. Trumbull Cardiovascular Care, Inc.

Trumbull App. No. 2005-T-0073, 2006-Ohio-4312. This cause is pending before the court on appellants' motion for reconsideration. Upon consideration of appellee's motion to strike motion for reconsideration,

It is ordered by the court that the motion is denied.

It is further ordered, sua sponte, that appellee may file a memorandum opposing the motion for reconsideration within seven days from the date of this entry.

2007-0180. Wittstein v. Wittstein.

Madison App. No. CA2006-03-013, 2006-Ohio-6707. This cause is pending before the court as a discretionary appeal and claimed appeal of right. Upon consideration of appellant's motion for stay of execution of judgment,

It is ordered by the court that the motion is denied.

In re application for leave to file original action in mandamus against the Third Appellate District Judges by Romane Rickels

On November 29, 2006, this court found Roman Rickels to be a vexatious litigator under S.Ct.Prac.R. XIV(5)(B). This court further ordered that Rickels was prohibited from continuing or instituting legal proceedings in this court without first obtaining leave. On February 12, 2007, Rickels submitted an application for leave to file an original action in mandamus against the Third Appellate District Judges. Upon review of the proffered filing, the court finds it to be without merit. Accordingly,

It is ordered by the court that Romane Rickel's February 12, 2007, application for leave is denied.

MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

2006-1864. Westfield Ins. Co. v. Factfinder Marketing Research, Inc.

Hamilton App. No. C-050580, 168 Ohio App.3d 391, 2006-Ohio-4380. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Hamilton County. Upon consideration of appellant's application for dismissal,

It is ordered by the court that the application for dismissal is granted. Accordingly, this cause is dismissed.

2007-0270. McNeil v. Logue.

Hamilton App. No. C-060943. On February 2, 2007, appellant tendered an affidavit of indigency, in lieu of the docket fee, upon filing this appeal from the Court of Appeals for Hamilton County. It appears that appellant's affidavit of indigency is not sworn to or affirmed before a notary. Upon consideration thereof,

It is ordered by the court, sua sponte, that appellant's affidavit of indigency is stricken as insufficient. Accordingly, this cause is dismissed.

MISCELLANEOUS ORDERS

In re Report of the Commission
on Continuing Legal Education

CLE-2006-01193

Lee Bortz
(0001193),
Respondent.

ENTRY

This matter originated in this court on the filing of a report by the Commission on Continuing Legal Education (the "commission") pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(A)(1)(b) and (A)(2)(d). The commission recommended the imposition of sanctions against certain attorneys and judges, including the above-named respondent, for failure to comply with the applicable continuing legal education provisions of Gov.Bar R. X and Gov.Jud.R. IV for the 2004-2005 reporting period.

It has now come to the court's attention that the respondent is deceased. Upon consideration thereof,

It is ordered by the court that the recommendation of a sanction against the above-named respondent, and the related matter now pending before the court, are hereby dismissed.

In re Report of the Commission
on Continuing Legal Education

CLE-2006-08282

William Sparks Lightbody
(0008282),
Respondent.

ENTRY

This matter originated in this court on the filing of a report by the Commission on Continuing Legal Education (the "commission") pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(A)(1)(b) and (A)(2)(d). The commission recommended the imposition of sanctions against certain attorneys and judges, including the above-named respondent, for failure to comply with the applicable continuing legal education provisions of Gov.Bar R. X and Gov.Jud.R. IV for the 2004-2005 reporting period.

It has now come to the court's attention that the respondent is deceased. Upon consideration thereof,

It is ordered by the court that the recommendation of a sanction against the above-named respondent, and the related matter now pending before the court, are hereby dismissed.

In re Report of the Commission
on Continuing Legal Education

CLE-2006-13465

George Joseph Durkin
(0013465),
Respondent.

ENTRY

This matter originated in this court on the filing of a report by the Commission on Continuing Legal Education (the "commission") pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(A)(1)(b) and (A)(2)(d). The commission recommended the imposition of sanctions against certain attorneys and judges, including the above-named respondent, for failure to comply with the applicable continuing legal education provisions of Gov.Bar R. X and Gov.Jud.R. IV for the 2004-2005 reporting period.

It has now come to the court's attention that the respondent is deceased. Upon consideration thereof,

It is ordered by the court that the recommendation of a sanction against the above-named respondent, and the related matter now pending before the court, are hereby dismissed.

In re Report of the Commission
on Continuing Legal Education

CLE-2006-20532

Richard Lee Henning
(0020532),
Respondent.

ENTRY

This matter originated in this court on the filing of a report by the Commission on Continuing Legal Education (the "commission") pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(A)(1)(b) and (A)(2)(d). The commission recommended the imposition of sanctions against certain attorneys and judges, including the above-named respondent, for failure to comply with the applicable continuing legal education provisions of Gov.Bar R. X and Gov.Jud.R. IV for the 2004-2005 reporting period.

It has now come to the court's attention that the respondent is deceased. Upon consideration thereof,

It is ordered by the court that the recommendation of a sanction against the above-named respondent, and the related matter now pending before the court, are hereby dismissed.

In re Report of the Commission
on Continuing Legal Education

CLE-2006-57503

R. Jeffrey Baker
(0057503),
Respondent.

ENTRY

This matter originated in this court on the filing of a report by the Commission on Continuing Legal Education (the "commission") pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(A)(1)(b) and (A)(2)(d). The commission recommended the imposition of sanctions against certain attorneys and judges, including the above-named respondent, for failure to comply with the applicable continuing legal education provisions of Gov.Bar R. X and Gov.Jud.R. IV for the 2004-2005 reporting period.

It has now come to the court's attention that the respondent is deceased. Upon consideration thereof,

It is ordered by the court that the recommendation of a sanction against the above-named respondent, and the related matter now pending before the court, are hereby dismissed.